

subject-area coherence aims to ensure that teachers are working toward the same learning standards in similar courses (say, three different ninth-grade algebra courses taught by different teachers), and that students are also learning the same amount of content, and receiving the same quality of instruction, across subject-area courses.

- **Interdisciplinary coherence:** When a curriculum is coherent across multiple subject areas—such as mathematics, science, and history—it may be aligned both within and across grade levels. Curriculum mapping for interdisciplinary coherence may focus on skills and work habits that students need to succeed in any academic course or discipline, such as reading skills, writing skills, technology skills, and critical-thinking skills. Improving interdisciplinary coherence across a curriculum might entail teaching students reading and writing skills in all academic courses, not just English courses.

(source: Hidden curriculum (2014, August 26). In S. Abbott (Ed.), *The glossary of education reform*. Retrieved from <http://edglossary.org/hidden-curriculum>)

Why is curriculum mapping important?

Curriculum mapping is important because it allows teachers and administrators to focus on **balance** between the content across curricula. It allows them to look into each classroom and see *what* children learn, and helps them gather data on redundancies or gaps in the course content. Curriculum mapping also helps teachers and administrators assess the structure of the course, and the time-scale plan of when specific lessons or concepts are taught.

Hale (2008) says this, “curriculum mapping is not a spectator sport. It demands teachers’ ongoing preparation and active participation. There must also be continual support from administrators who have a clear understanding and insight into the intricacies of the mapping process.” (p. xv)

One important thing to remember, **curriculum maps are never considered “done”**. They are an ongoing development seeking to improve student learning and content quality across schools. As long as teachers have new students, new classes, and new school years, the content and structure should be continually assessed and revised (if need be) to ensure students get the most out of their education, and for teachers to use the most effective strategies in their lessons. *If you have questions about USD 320 process for revising curriculum, please contact Dr. Mary Kaye Siebert, Director of Instructional Services.*

Resources

Hale, J. A. (2008). *A guide to curriculum mapping: Planning, implementing, and sustaining the process*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Jacobs, H. H. (1997). *Mapping the big picture: Integrating curriculum and assessment K-12*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. Jacobs, H. H. (2004). *Getting results with curriculum mapping*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Jacobs, H. H. (2006). *Active literacy across the curriculum: Strategies for reading, writing, speaking, and listening*. Larchmont, NY: Eye On Education. Jacobs, H. H. (2008). *Keynote presentation*. Glendale, AZ: Regional Curriculum Mapping Conference.

Jacobs, H. H. (2010). *Curriculum 21: Essential education for a changing world*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Udelofen, S. (2005). *Keys to curriculum mapping: strategies and tools to make it work*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

“Curriculum Mapping 101”, retrieved from <http://curriculummapping101.com/curriculum-mapping-general>. Seen